	TES DISTRICT COURT N DISTRICT OF TEXAS	U.S. DISTRICT CO NORTHERN DISTRICT CO FILED
	S DIVISION  § §	SEP 2 8 2015
v.	§ 3:14-CV-3212-L § 3:12-CR-0300-L	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Deputy
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent.	§ §	

## FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and an order of the District Court, this case has been referred to the United States Magistrate Judge. The findings, conclusions and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge follow:

I.

On September 5, 2014, Petitioner filed this petition to vacate, set-aside, or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. On November 6, 2014, the government filed its answer. In its answer, the government informed the Court that Petitioner was released from custody on September 12, 2014. A review of the Bureau of Prisons website shows that Petitioner was in fact released from custody on that date. *See* <a href="https://www.bop.gov">www.bop.gov</a>. Petitioner is therefore no longer at the address he provided to the Court. He has also not provided the Court with any alternative address.

II.

Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows a court to dismiss an action *sua sponte* for failure to prosecute or for failure to comply with the federal rules or any court order. *Larson v. Scott*, 157 F.3d 1030, 1031 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998). "This authority [under Rule 41(b)] flows

from the court's inherent power to control its docket and prevent undue delays in the disposition of pending cases." *Boudwin v. Graystone Ins. Co., Ltd.*, 756 F.2d 399, 401 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985) (citing *Link v. Wabash, R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386 (1962)). Petitioner has failed to provide the Court with a current address. The Court is therefore unable to contact Petitioner.

Accordingly, his petition to vacate, set-aside, or correct sentence should be dismissed for want of

III.

The Court recommends that the petition to vacate, set-aside, or correct sentence be dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

Signed this 2 Sday of 3, 2015.

prosecution.

PAUL D. STICKNEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT

A copy of this report and recommendation shall be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of this report and recommendation must file specific written objections within 14 days after being served with a copy. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). In order to be specific, an objection must identify the specific finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for the objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge's report and recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. *See Douglass v. United Services Automobile Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996).